SSD Architectures Which One is Right For You?



Qing Yang, CTO August 11, 2011

Option #1: Server-Attached SSD

- Pros
 - Closer to server
 → lower latency, higher IOPS
- Cons

VeloBit

- Sharing issues
- Product forms:
 - PCIe SSD Cards
 - x1-x32 lanes, 16GB/s
 - Fully exploit parallelism of SSD
 - SATA and SAS SSDs
 - 3—6 Gbps
 - Inexpensive & compact
 - Compatible with storage interfaces



Option #2: SSD Appliances

- Pros:
 - Relatively easy to add to existing infrastructure
 - Purpose-built system may offer high performance
- Cons:

VeloBit

- Adds another box (\$'s, back-up, reliability, space, power, cooling)
- Requires new data management & DR systems and processes



Option #3: SSD in Storage Array

- Pros:
 - Minimal change to existing storage
 - Leverages existing data management & DR
- Cons:

VeloBit

- Latency
 - Distance from server = network bottlenecks
 - Protocol and context switching overheads
- Higher \$ per IOPs
- Data Tiering Challenges



Best Choice Depends on Priorities

The ideal solution should

- ✓ Use low cost SSD hardware of your choice
- ✓ Leverage existing storage investments, easy management
- ✓ Be transparent to applications
- ✓ Dramatically improve both READ and WRITE performance
- Have high reliability and long endurance
- You could use any of these solutions:



VeloBi

Does Traditional Cache Work on SSD?

- 1. Cache concept has been around for decades
- 2. Flash Memory is quite different from RAM
 - > Asymmetric
 - > No in-place writes
 - Wear-leveling & garbage collection
 - Write amplification
- 3. Performance drops when device approaches full utilization
- 4. New challenges require a <u>New Way of Thinking</u>

- ----Page/Buffer Cache Performance
- ---Flash cache performance assuming empty SSD



VeloB

Why and How Cache Works





VeloBit Opens a New Dimension in Caching



Great & Endless Opportunity



The VeloBit Solution



MySQL Performance Improvement

Storage System Performance



- VeloBit is 3x faster than the current SSD performance leader.
- VeloBit enables use of commodity SSD.
- VeloBit used 84% less flash in this benchmark.